

# **CABINET**

# **MINUTES**

# **13 DECEMBER 2012**

**Chairman:** \* Councillor Thaya Idaikkadar

Councillors: \* Bob Currie \* Phillip O'Dell

\* Margaret Davine
\* Keith Ferry
\* Mitzi Green
\* Bill Stephenson

\* Graham Henson

In attendance: Susan Hall Minute 542
(Councillors) Barry Macleod-Cullinane Minute 542
Paul Osborn Minute 542

\* Denotes Member present

#### RECOMMENDED ITEMS

### 546. Key Decision - Localisation of Council Tax Benefit

The Portfolio Holder for Finance introduced the report, which set out the changes to Council Tax Benefits and Local Authorities new responsibilities for the development and adoption of a localised Council Tax Support Scheme by 31 January 2013 with implementation on 1 April 2013. The report provided feedback from the consultation carried out with Harrow residents and stakeholders and showed how the feedback had informed the development of the new localised Council Tax Support Scheme. The Portfolio Holder referred to the impact that government cuts would have on vulnerable people.

The Portfolio Holder referred how, at an early stage, it was recognised that the changes to Council Tax Benefits could have an impact on residents living in Harrow. To understand these impacts and ensure residents were given the opportunity to shape, a partnership driven structure was developed to take the

Cabinet - 13 December 2012 - 856 -

development of a new scheme forward. As a result, a multi-agency Steering Group was formed.

The Portfolio Holder invited Jill Harrison, Chief Executive of Harrow Citizens' Advice Bureau, who sat on the Steering Group to address Cabinet.

Jill Harrison congratulated the Council on the consultation process adopted which had been built on previous consultation exercises. Ms Harrison was pleased with the positive working relationships that had been established from the outset between Council officers. Additionally, the Council's financial position was clarified from the outset which had made the discussions at meetings of the Steering Group realistic. Moreover, the Council Tax benefit scheme had been simplified and was therefore easier to understand. She was pleased that the Council had gone the extra mile to identify ways to mitigate the impact of the proposals on the poorest members of the community. Overall, the consultation process had been fair and reasonable.

The Portfolio Holder for Finance thanked Jill Harrison for her kind words and identified how the engagement process had worked, which had included road shows across the borough and the use of social media. He explained that since the end of the consultation period, the government had announced the availability of a new grant which, for Harrow, was £380,000. However, the implementation of the localisation process would entail an outlay of £2m.

The Portfolio Holder referred to the various options discussed by the Steering Group, including the feedback received to the proposals for change. Of particular note were:

- people with disabilities were likely to be disproportionately affected by the Welfare Reform Act due to multiple impacts;
- people on welfare were less likely to have access to other forms of income and would have limited employment opportunities;
- carers would also be affected.

Cabinet was informed that following contributions from the Steering Group and a questionnaire as part of the consultation document had helped shape the Scheme. There had been a mixed response to the consultation and the Portfolio Holder explained how the Steering Group had arrived at the questions which had formed part of the consultation document. The response level had been high and following comments from the Steering Group, Scheme 1 was considered to be the preferred option, as it reflected the outcome of the consultation to the greatest extent and could be implemented within the resources made available by the government for Council Tax Support.

In summing up, the Portfolio Holder commended the report to Cabinet and that the decision would be taken by full Council. He explained that the 'London Borough of Harrow Council Tax Support Scheme' may require amending for circulation to Council due to further announcements expected

Cabinet - 13 December 2012 - 857 -

from the government in relation to the Council Tax Support Schemes (Default Scheme) Regulations 2012.

The Portfolio Holder for Adult Social Care, Health and Wellbeing and the Assistant Chief Executive thanked the Steering Group members and the staff from the Housing Benefits Team for their work, particularly on the consultation exercise that the Council could be proud of.

### Resolved to RECOMMEND: (to Council) That

- (1) the new Harrow Localised Council Tax Support Scheme, at appendix H to the report, be agreed and adopted;
- the parameter configuration set out in Scheme 1 for the two year period April 2013 to March 2015, which fully manages the funding gap, and recommended by officers, be agreed and adopted;
- (3) the Scheme remain in perpetuity after the two year period, with parameters uprated as per the Scheme rules, unless a policy decision is taken to replace or alter the Scheme at annual review;
- (4) the existing s13A policy on hardship agreed by Cabinet on the 3 August 2006 and now known as s13A(1)(c) be continued.

**Reason for Decision:** The Council had carried out a wide consultation to ensure that residents within Harrow were given the opportunity to give their view and help shape the new Council Tax Support Scheme. Feedback from the consultation had informed the recommendations to Cabinet and helped towards identifying the impacts of the changes.

Statutorily the Scheme must be agreed by 31 January 2013 to enable the Council to make the required savings of approximately £3.8m for the year 2013/14 and £5.1m the following year 2014/15. If this deadline was not met and a local scheme agreed, the Council would be required to deliver the default Council Tax Support Scheme. This would not allow the authority to manage the funding gap between the reduced devolved grant given to the Council and the Council Tax Support expected expenditure.

**Alternative Options considered and rejected:** As set out in the officer report.

Conflict of Interest relating to the matter declared by Cabinet Member/Dispensation granted: None.

- 858 - Cabinet - 13 December 2012